UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/912,408	07/26/2001	Lionel Cassin	15235.007	4274
28381 ARNOLD & PO	7590 12/18/200 ORTER LLP	EXAMINER		
ATTN: IP DOCKETING DEPT.			PENG, FRED H	
555 TWELFTH STREET, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20004-1206			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2426	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/18/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/912,408	CASSIN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	FRED PENG	2426
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the may earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply bot will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fute, cause the application to become ABANDO	ION. e timely filed rom the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> 2a)    This action is <b>FINAL</b> .    2b)    This action is application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice unde	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal matters,	
Disposition of Claims		
4)  Claim(s) <u>148-157</u> is/are pending in the appli- 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd 5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6)  Claim(s) <u>148-157</u> is/are rejected. 7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8)  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.	
9) The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:      1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority docume 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a limit	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summ Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:	

Application/Control Number: 09/912,408 Page 2

Art Unit: 2426

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 10/09/2008 has been entered.

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Response to Arguments

2. Applicant's arguments filed 08/15/2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues on pages 4 and 5 of Remarks dated on 08/15/2008 that the cited reference Hunter does not teach "wherein said enabling is carried out by a processor based device".

The Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant's arguments. Even though the predetermined time of enabling is determined by the user, the enabling is eventually is carried out by the processor of the device.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

4. Claims 148-157 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hunter et al (US 2002/0056118).

Claim 148, Hunter discloses a method of implementing a media content delivery and playback scheme (Para 0012); the method comprising the steps of:

receiving media content which is delivered asynchronously via a communication channel (Para 0012); and

enabling the playback of said media content at a predetermined time after said step of receiving media content (Para 13 lines 38-42; said playback is only enabled when get special discount on daily or weekly basis after media content is received and recorded),

wherein said enabling is carried out by a processor based device (said enabling of media content is eventually carried out by a processor based device as shown in FIG.2 once selected by the user).

Claim 149, Hunter further discloses the step of detecting the media content at the predetermined time (Para 121 – Para 122).

Claim 150, Hunter further discloses the step of providing a notification of receipt of the media content (Para 139).

Claim 151, Hunter further discloses said playback is enabled only after a predetermined time after the receipt of said media content (Para 117; playback is enabled only at night after receipt of said media content at afternoon).

Claim 152, Hunter further discloses asynchronous delivery of said media content is controlled by a first processor of a first device (FIG.23, element 600; set-top box receives and delivers the content) and said playback of said media content is controlled by a second processor of a second device (element 610; for playback control).

Claim 153, Hunter further discloses said playback of media content is enabled in said second device at a first predetermined time after said delivery of said media content (FIG.23; user controls playback of content at night, a first predetermined time).

Claim 154, Hunter further discloses said media content may not be detectable by a user of said second device until said predetermined time (Para 117 lines 5-13; the movie is not available until night indicates content may not be detectable by a user of said second device until said predetermined time).

Claim 155, Hunter further discloses said delivery of said media content from said first device to said second device is controlled in accordance with a digital rights management scheme (Para 193 – Para 200).

Claim 156, Hunter further discloses said first device and said second device are coupled by said communication channel (FIG.23, Digital Data between elements 600 and 610).

Claim 157, Hunter further discloses said first device and said second device are implemented in computer systems (FIG.23, elements 600 and 610 are implemented in computer systems).

### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to FRED PENG whose telephone number is (571)270-1147. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 09:00-18:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vivek Srivastava can be reached on (571) 272-7304. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 09/912,408 Page 5

Art Unit: 2426

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Fred Peng Patent Examiner Vivek Srivastava Supervisory Patent Examiner

/Annan Q Shang/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2424